

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/645,248		BENNETT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tri V. Nguyen		1796	

  

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew N. Parfomak. (3) Tri V. Nguyen.

(2) Lorna M. Douyon. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2010.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: All applied.

Identification of prior art discussed: All Applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Possible claim amendments were discussed to overcome prior art of record /nt/.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lorna M Douyon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796	
---	--